

CONTACT REPORT

Meeting [] Telephone [x] Other []

AGENCY: State of Washington
Department of Ecology

ADDRESS: Olympia, Washington

PHONE NO: (206) 407-7239

PERSON CONTACTED: Michael Kuntz
Managing Hydrogeologist, Toxics Clean-up Program

TO: Lyle Diediker

FROM: Raymond Wayne

DATE: February 9, 1995

SUBJECT: Response To Ecology December 5, 1994 Comments
Colbert Landfill, Spokane County, Washington

1. Mike sent me a FAX of his draft review comments on Spokane County's comment responses for my review. I called him after reviewing his FAX. We discussed Spokane's responses and Mike's draft. I said that my formal review was completed. Lyle Diediker had reviewed the comments, and that I would send him a FAX of my comments by the end of the day. I suggested that he review my comments and then we could discuss both sets of review comments. Several of my comments parallel Mike's draft.

2. I mentioned my concern that the analytical data shown on Figure 1 of the comment response indicates potential migration of landfill contaminants in the upper aquifer to the domestic wells along the Little Spokane River. The figure shows 61 ppb of TCA in a domestic well just north of where a railroad track crosses the river. This concentration exceeds the highest concentration shown in the extraction wells on Figure 1. Two other wells and a spring sample along the river also contained TCA. These data suggest that landfill constituents may be migrating to the west and are not controlled by the southern extraction system or site geology. Comment response Figure 5 does not provide ground water elevation contours in this area of interest.

3. The analytical and ground water elevation data presented in the comment

USEPA SF



1414305

response (and in previous documents) were collected at different time intervals. The ground water elevation data presented on the figures encompasses a smaller portion of the site than the analytical data. I suggested that the area encompassed by the two types of data should be the same to assist interpretation.

4. We discussed the locations of the compliance monitoring wells in reference to the capture zone and reported drawdowns in those wells.